



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,467	10/12/2000	Mitsuaki Oshima	2000_1420	3378
7.	590 07/30/2003			
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack 2033 K Street Suite 800			EXAMINER	
			LE, AMANDA T	
Washington, D	C 20006		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2634	0.7
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003	25

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		_	<del></del>
· •	Application No.	pplicant(s)	\ <b>9</b> /
Advisory Action	09/686,467	OSHIMA ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amanda T Le	2634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence ac	ldress
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apper Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this ap 1) a timely filed amendment v	plication. A proper reported which places the application.	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	·	
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set later than SIX MONTHS from the m	nailing date of the final rejec	ction.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Oftimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding f the shortened statutory period for r fice later than three months after the	amount of the fee. The apeply originally set in the final	propriate extension al Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>7/14/03</u> . Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or sear	ch (see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by n	naterially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number	of finally rejected clair	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		a separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: se	er reconsideration has been co	onsidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	<del>" '</del>	LY to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)  approved or b) dis	approved by the Exan	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s)	
10. Other:		-	•
<del></del>	•		

Application/Control Number: 09/686,467

Art Unit: 2634

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/14/03 have been fully considered but they are not 1.

persuasive.

Applicants argues that "applications 09/686463, 09/686,466 do not recite the feature of a

distance in the vector space diagram between any closest two signal points in each signal point

group is less than 2d". Nonetheless, choosing the distance (2d) between any closest two signal

points in each group to be less than that (2dxn) of any adjacent two groups would have been

within the level of one skilled in the art since such selection would enable easier detection of the

signal points at the receiver. Further, selecting a specific value for the distance between two

signal points in a constellation would have been a matter of choice to meet the design criteria of

a particular application.

Accordingly, omitting the features of recited in the claims of applications 09/686463.

09/686,466 would result in the claimed invention of the present application. Such omission of

features whose functions are not needed would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time of the invention.

The non-statutory double patenting rejection stated in the Office Action (1/14/03) is

maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Amanda Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-4769.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin, can be reached at (703) 305-4714.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/686,467

Art Unit: 2634

Page 3

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

AMANDAT.LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER